

## Rory Love

---

**From:** Cllr Rory Love [cllrrorylove@dsl.pipex.com]  
**Sent:** 11 April 2008 11:07  
**To:** 'ben.geering@shepway.gov.uk'  
**Cc:** 'george.bunting@shepway.gov.uk'; 'planning@shepway.gov.uk'  
**Subject:** Y08/0275/SH - 47 Broadfield Rd, Folkestone

Tracking: Recipient	Read
'ben.geering@shepway.gov.uk'	Read: 11/04/2008 11:08
'george.bunting@shepway.gov.uk'	
'planning@shepway.gov.uk'	Read: 14/04/2008 07:28
Cllr.GBunting@shepway.gov.uk	Read: 11/04/2008 11:08

Dear Ben,

Many thanks for taking the time to discuss this planning application with me on Wednesday. It was most helpful to hear some of the factors you will be taking into account when forming a recommendation.

As one of the ward members for Harvey West ward, I wish to object to the proposed development.

I believe the proposal represents an over-intensive use of the site, and one which is uncharacteristic of the road. Both of these factors will be to the detriment of residents.

In particular, I wish to draw attention to the following:

- Viewed from the front, the roof appears overbearingly bulky, and significantly higher than the neighbouring property at no.51 Broadfield Rd.
- The two rear wings extend considerably further back than the current rear building line for this road.
- There are a considerable number of sideways-facing (east-west facing) windows from habitable rooms (bedrooms and living room) which will be detrimental to the privacy of existing residents, and will especially overlook the private rear gardens. This will continue to be the case with any possible future development in the vacant garden on the west side, at no.43 Broadfield Rd, where there is a current planning application. Even the second floor east-west facing windows, while further forward, are of a sufficient height to overlook the neighbouring gardens and properties.
- I strongly object to the loss of the rear garden, and its use for parking. This is compounded in this case by the lack of space coupled with the number of flats, which I believe will result in much manoeuvring. I note the turntable arrangement for turning cars. Notwithstanding the acoustic fencing, the vehicle movements will still be a source of noise (and possible fumes) which will be of a different nature from the noise of the railway line, and will be a considerable nuisance to neighbours.

I believe the proposals are contrary to Local Plan policies SD1 and BE11, and I urge refusal of the plans.

If you are minded to recommend approval, I should like to call in the plans before the Development Control Committee, where I shall wish to speak to expand on my reasons for objecting to the plans.

*Rory Love*

**Cllr Rory Love**  
Folkestone Harvey West Ward,  
Cabinet Member for Environmental Services,  
Shepway District Council

[www.cllrrorylove.org](http://www.cllrrorylove.org)

Honorary Treasurer & Board Member; Conservative Councillors' Association

28/05/2008